This appendix includes FEMA 386-4 Guidance worksheets to facilitate plan maintenance and review by the Tioga County planning partnership.

Worksheet #1

Progress Report



Progress Report Period:	to			
(date)	(date)			
Project Title:		Project ID#:		
Responsible Agency:				
Address:				
City/County:				
Contact Person:		_ Title:		
Phone #(s):	email address:			
List Supporting Agencies and Contacts:				
Total Project Cost:				
Anticipated Cost Overrun/Underrun:				
Date of Project Approval: Anticipated completion date:				
Description of the Project (include a description of the Project (include a description):			frame for co	mpleting each
				Projected
Milestones			Complete	Projected Date of Completion

Plan Goal(s)/Objective(s) Addressed:	
Goal:	
Objective:	
Indicator of Success (e.g., losses avoided as a result of	of the acquisition program):
	or. In cases where it is difficult to quantify the benefits in dollar aber of people who now know about mitigation or who are takazards.
canceled projects, see Worksheet #2 — to complete a p	
Project Status	Project Cost Status
Project on schedule	Cost unchanged
☐ Project completed	Cost overrun*
Project delayed*	*explain:
*explain:	
	Cost underrun*
Project canceled	*explain:
Summary of progress on project for this report:	
A. What was accomplished during this reporting period	?
B. What obstacles, problems, or delays did you encoun	ter, if any?
C. How was each problem resolved?	

	at is/are the ne				
er comment	S:				
er comment	s: 				
er comment	s:				
er comment	s:				
er comment	s:				
er comment	S:				
er comment	S:				
er comment	S:				
er comment	S:				
er comment	S:				
er comment	S:				
er comment	S:				
er comment	S:				
ner comment	S:				

Worksheet #2

Evaluate Your Planning Team

step	3
------	---

When gearing up for the plan evaluation, the planning team should reassess its composition and ask the following questions:	YES	NO
Have there been local staffing changes that would warrant inviting different members to the planning team?		
Comments/Proposed Action:		
Are there organizations that have been invaluable to the planning process or to project implementation that should be represented on the planning team?		
Comments/Proposed Action:		
Are there any representatives of essential organizations who have not fully participated in the planning and implementation of actions? If so, can someone else from this organization commit to the planning team?		
Comments/Proposed Action:		
Are there procedures (e.g., signing of MOAs, commenting on submitted progress reports, distributing meeting minutes, etc.) that can be done more efficiently?		
Comments/Proposed Action:		
Are there ways to gain more diverse and widespread cooperation?		
Comments/Proposed Action:		
Are there different or additional resources (financial, technical, and human) that are now available for mitigation planning?		
Comments/Proposed Action:		

If the planning team determines the answer to any of these questions is "yes," some changes may be necessary.

Worksheet #3 Evaluate Your Project Results

page 1 of 2

Project Name and Number:	
Project Budget:	
Project Description:	Insert location map.
Associated Goal and Objective(s):	Include before and after photos if appropriate.
Indicator of Success (e.g., losses avoided):	
Was the action implemented? YES NO	YES NO
Why not?	
Was there political support for the action?	닏닏
Were enough funds available?	\sqcup \sqcup
Were workloads equitably or realistically distributed?	
Was new information discovered about the risks or community to implementation difficult or no longer sensible?	hat made
Was the estimated time of implementation reasonable?	
Were sufficient resources (for example staff and technical assist	tance) available?
IF YES	
What were the results of the implemented action?	

page 2 of 2	YES	NO
Were the outcomes as expected? If No, please explain:		
Did the results achieve the goal and objective(s)? Explain how:		
Was the action cost-effective? Explain how or how not:		
What were the losses avoided after having completed the project?		
If it was a structural project, how did it change the hazard profile?		
Additional comments or other outcomes:		
Date		
Date:Prepared by:		

Worksheet #4

Revisit Your Risk Assessment

Risk Assessment Steps	Questions	YES	NO	COMMENTS
Identify hazards	Are there new hazards that can affect your community?			
Profile hazard events	Are new historical records available?			
	Are additional maps or new hazard studies available?			
	Have chances of future events (along with their magnitude, extent, etc.) changed?			
	Have recent and future development in the community been checked for their effect on hazard areas?			
Inventory assets	Have inventories of existing structures in hazard areas been updated?			
	Is future land development accounted for in the inventories?			
	Are there any new special high-risk populations?			
Estimate losses	Have loss estimates been updated to account for recent changes?			

If you answered "Yes" to any of the above questions, review your data and update your risk assessment information accordingly.

Progress Report Period: October 1, 2003 to December 31, 2003 Project ID#: HVMP-2003-01 Project Title: Raying River Views Park Flood Acquisition Project Responsible Agency: Hazaniville Department of Planning Address: 1909 Bumhan Way City/County: Hazardville, Emergency Title: Grants Administrator Contact Person: Eurice Eudid Phone #(s): (555) 555-8473 email address: eeudld@town.hazardville.an List Supporting Agencies and Contacts: Hazardville Department of Housing: Noah Hudson (555) 555-8465 Hazardville Habitat for Hemanity: Carter Goodman (555) 555-9432 Total Project Cost: \$360,000 Anticipated Cost Overrun/Underrun: \$N/A Date of Project Approval: July 21, 2003 Start date of the project: Newmber 15, 2003

Progress Report

Worksheet #1

Anticipated completion date: Summer 2005

Acquire and demolish 14 structures located at the Raging River Ylows Park. Work with Habitat for Humanity and the Department of Housing to construct new housing or rehabilitate existing beasing for displaced low-income residents. The Department of Housing will also provide fueds for temperary housing to displaced residents.

Description of the Project (include a description of each phase, if applicable, and the time frame for completing each

Milestones	Complete	Projected Date of Completion
Conduct surveys of ground and first-floor elevations	land.	
Obtain Notices of Intent by *wners	100	
Conduct structure appraisals	100	
Send letters of offer to homeowners		1/31/04
Parform title work		3/30/04
Acquire structures		6/30/04
Begin construction of new horsing or reconstruction of existing bousing for relecated residents		6/30/04
Send payment for relocation to renters		9/30/04
Finalize centract for demolities		1/12/05
Demolish strectures		4/26/05
Landscape open parcels		6/30/05

Version 1.0 August 2003 2_13

	Page 2 of 3
Plan Goal(s)/Objective(s) Addressed:	
Goal: Minimize lesses to existing and future structures within baz	
Objective: Reduce potential damages to the manufactured home	park in the floadplain.
Indicator of Success (e.g., losses avoided as a result of	f the acquisition program):
calculating the losses availed.	
Status (Please check pertinent information and provide canceled projects, see Worksheet #2 — to complete a p Project Status	explanations for items with an asterisk. For completed or roject evaluation): Project Cost Status
Project on cobadule	✓ Cost unchanged
Project on schedule	Cost unchanged
☐ Project completed	Cost overrun*
☐ Project delayed*	*explain:
*explain:	
	Cost underrun*
☐ Project canceled	*explain:
Summary of progress on project for this report:	
A. What was accomplished during this reporting period?	?
The Department of Planning contacted the owners of the properties	vulnerable to floods to determine their willingness to sell their properties.
	sequired. An appraiser contracted by the Department of Manning estimated
the value of the 10 properties.	
•	
B. What obstacles, problems, or delays did you encount	er, if any?
The owners of four proporties refused to sell. There has been some	limited neighborhood opposition to various suggestions for the community
open space created by the acquisitions.	
C. How was each problem resolved?	
The Department of Planning has proposed to the residents a design	charrette to develop alternatives for the open space that would be created,
	structed on the open parcels after acquisition and demolition has been
completed. Recreational activities will be limited to passive uses s	such as trails and blike paths.

2. Do title work.	Loneowners.
	tment of Housing and Hubitat for Humanity to Identify existing housing for rehabilitation and viable vacant parcel
to construct new ke	isting for the displaced residents.
ther comments:	
Hene	

Worksheet #2 Evaluate Your Planning Team step 3

When goaring up for the plan evaluation, the planning team should reassess its composition and ask the following questions:	YES	NO
Have there been local staffing changes that would warrant inviting different members to the planning team?		
Comments/Proposed Action: NA		
Are there organizations that have been invaluable to the planning process or to project implementation that should be represented on the planning team?	-	
CommenterProposed Action: Hezardville Habitat for Humanity has been invaleable to assisting the Raging River Views Park residents. The organization should be invited to participate in THORR.	relocation e	former
Are there any representatives of essential organizations who have not fully participated in the planning and implementation of actions? If so, can someone else from this organization commit to the planning team?	-	
CommentarProposed Action: It is essential that the Department of Public Works be represented at so many mitigation actions involve them. However, representatives from the department have been unal consistently since the development of the plan. THORR will work with the departments director to flat representation.	le to attend	meetlags
Are there procedures (e.g., signing of MOAs, commenting on submitted progress reports, distributing meeting minutes, etc.) that can be done more efficiently?	-	
CommentarProposed Action: Again, the Department of Public Works has been usable to provide the of the mitigation actions. Administrative duties and paperwork have fallen through the cracks since the assigned numerous new deties in Hazardville's mitigation efforts. Perhaps the department, in parimersh should approach the Tewn Council for funding for more department staff.	department	has been
Are there ways to gain more diverse and widespread cooperation?	-	
Comments/Proposed Action: THORR members believe that better publicity about militarion action interest from the public, affected/laterasted organizations, and state agencies.	s will game	mora
Are there different or additional resources (financial, technical, and human) that are now available for mitigation planning?	~	
Comments/Proposed Action: THORR has learned about sew PDM fending. The state has asked that submit applications for brick and mentar projects and risk assessments studies.	Hosel Jurisi	letions
If the planning team determines the answer to any of these questions is "yes," some changes may b	e necessary	



Version 1.0 August 2003

Project Name and Number: Raging River Views Park Flood Acquisition Project (HVMP-2003-01) Project Budget: \$360,000 Project Description: Acquisition and demolition of 14 flood-prone structures Associated Goal and Objective(s): Minimize lesses to existing and future structures within Objective: Reduce potential damages to the manufactured bone park in the floodplain Indicator of Success (e.g., losses avoided): Moderate High Vulnerability Winerability V Losses avoided by acquisition and demolition of flood-prone structures Town of Hazardville Composite Loss Map developed previously during risk assessment (see FEMA 386-2). Was the action implemented? VES NO IF NO YES NO Why not? Was there political support for the action? Were enough funds available? Were workloads equitably or realistically distributed? Was new information discovered about the risks or community that made implementation difficult or no longer sensible? Was the estimated time of implementation reasonable? Were sufficient resources (for example staff and technical assistance) available? IF YES

What were the results of the implemented action?

Of the 14 proposed properties, 10 were sequired. The benefit-cest ratio is 2.19, based on project benefits of \$789,000 and costs of \$360,274. Benefits are based on the net prosest value of the avoided damages over the project life. Furthermore, about 40 people are no longer in the path of a potential flood, making emergency rescue operations in that area less likely and evacention easier.

page 2 of 2	YES	NO
Were the outcomes as expected? If No, please explain:		100
The project originally set out to acquire 14 properties. Four of the 14 owners did not want to participate in the be	yout pro	gram.
Did the results achieve the goal and objective(s)? Explain how:	im.	
Despite four properties still in harm's way, the objective has been largely met. See additional comments.		
Was the action cost-effective? Explain how or how not:	m	
The FEMA Limited Data medule was used to perform the benefit-cest analysis. Data for the analysis was collected bistorical fixed data and used as benchmarks in the before mitigation section of the analysis. The damages after mi section was left blank, due to the properties being permanently acquired, and the economic risk removed completel analysis resulted in a benefit-cost ratio of 2.19, with benefits tetaling \$789,000 for 10 properties.	Higstion	
What were the losses avoided after having completed the project?		
Total avoided losses are \$789,000 ever the lifetime of the project (estimated at 100 years).		
If it was a structural project, how did it change the hazard profile?		
N/A		
Additional comments or other outcomes:		
The Planning Department has agreed to work with the remaining four homeowners in evaluating either flood-proofing	option	B.,

Date: October 12, 2005

Prepared by: Hazardville Department of Ecosomic Development

Hazardville Department of Planning

Version 1.0 August 2003

Questions	YES	NO	COMMENTS
			OSMALITI O
Are there new hazards that can affect your community?		1	
Are new historical records available?		1	
Are additional maps or new hazard studies available?	1		Recently completed maps and studies showing vulnerability of the new coastal development to erasion and tidal surge are available.
Have chances of future events (along with their magnitude, extent, etc.) changed?		1	
Have recent and future development in the community been checked for their effect on hazard areas?			
Have inventories of existing structures in hazard areas been updated?	_		
Is future land development accounted for in the inventories?	1		The Planeley Department is proporting a coastal development plan to ensure that any feture development is set back for enough to be estable the eresten zones and the coastal high hazard areas. Correct and future read configurations will also be stedied to ensure adequate evaceation times before hurricane events.
Are there any new special high-risk populations?	_		Coastel residents and business owners.
Have loss estimates been updated to account for recent changes?	1		
Fit had he	Are new historical records available? Are additional maps or new hazard studies available? Have chances of future events along with their magnitude, extent, etc.) changed? Have recent and future development in the community been checked for heir effect on hazard areas? Have inventories of existing structures in hazard areas been updated? Is future land development accounted for in the inventories? Are there any new special high-risk copulations?	Are new historical records available? Are additional maps or new hazard studies available? Have chances of future events along with their magnitude, extent, etc.) changed? Have recent and future development in the community been checked for heir effect on hazard areas? Have inventories of existing structures in hazard areas been updated? Are there any new special high-risk populations?	Are new historical records available? Are additional maps or new hazard studies available? Have chances of future events along with their magnitude, extent, etc.) changed? Have recent and future development in the community been checked for heir effect on hazard areas? Have inventories of existing structures in hazard areas been updated? Are there any new special high-risk populations?

If you answered "Yes" to any of the above questions, review your data and update your risk assessment information accordingly.